	Questions
1	Tailings facility name/identifier
2	Location
3	Ownership
4	Status
5	Date of initial operation
	Is the dam currently operated or closed as per currently
6	approved design?
7	Raising method
8	Current maximum height
9	Current tailings storage impoundment volume
10	Planned tailings storage impoundment volume in five
10	years' time.
11	Most recent Independent Expert Review
12	Do you have full and complete relevant engineering
12	records including design, construction, operation,
	maintenance, and/or closure?
13	What is your hazard categorisation of this facility, based
	on the consequence of failure?
14	What guideline do you follow for the classification
	system?
	Has this facility, at any point in its history, failed to be
	confirmed or certified as stable, or experienced notable
15	stability concerns, as identified by an independent
	engineer (even if later certified as stable by the same or
	a different firm).
	Do you have internal/in house engineering specialist
16	oversight of this facility? Or do you have external
	engineering support for this purpose?
	Has a formal analysis of the downstream impact on
47	communities, ecosystems and critical infrastructure in
17	the event of catastrophic failure been undertaken and to
	reflect final conditions? If so, when did this assessment
	take place? Is there a) a closure plan in place for this dam, and b)
18	does it include long term monitoring?
	Have you, or do you plan to assess your tailings facilities
	against the impact of more regular extreme weather
19	events as a result of climate change, e.g. over the next
	two years?
	Any other relevant information and supporting
	documentation. Please state if you have omitted any
20	other exposure to tailings facilities through any joint
	ventures you may have.
	Tomas do you may mare.

Driefontein TSF 4	Driefontein TSF 5
Driefontein TSF 4	Driefontein TSF 5
26° 20.849'S; 27° 27.351'E	26° 23.927'S; 27° 24.849'E
Owned & Operated by FWGR	Owned and operated by FWGR
Active	Ongoing reclamation of TSF
Approx.1990	Approx. 1963
The dam is operational	This dam is being reprocessed
Cycloning	Day wall
Approx. 40m	Approx. 35m
55 000 000m3	17 488 090 m3
73 254 207 m3	0
2018	Not applicable
W	Not a call calls
Yes	Not applicable
 High	Not applicable
riigii	пот аррпсавіе
SANS 10286	SANS 10286
57 11.15 15255	57 1113 15255
No	No
External support	External support
	.,
Yes 2018	Dam being reclaimed
In concept, not detail. Monitoring will continue post	
closure	Currently being reclaimed.
No	No. Proposed reclamation site
	This TSF is currently being reclaimed
This is the only active TSF owned and managed by	by FWGR and due to be depleted
FWGR.	within five years.

Driefontein TSF 3	Libanon TSF
Driefontein TSF 3	Libanon TSF
26° 22.107'S; 27° 27.046'E	26° 20.236'S; 27° 37.096'E
Owned & Operated by FWGR	Owned & Operated by FWGR
Inactive	Inactive
Approx. 1982	Approx. 1960
117	T.F
Closed as per Mine closure plan	Closed as per Mine closure plan
Day Wall	Day Wall
Approx. 30m	Approx. 40m
32 653 705 m3	50 777 000 m3
32 653 705 m3	50 777 000 m3
2018	2018
Yes	Yes
High	High
SANS 10286	SANS 10286
No.	No
External support	External support
Dormant dams	Dormant dams
This dam will be reclaimed in future	This dam will be reclaimed in future
No. Proposed reclamation site	No. Proposed reclamation site
FWGR plan to start reclamation activities within five years.	This TSF currently forms part of the FWGR mine plan for surface tailings retreatment.

Venterspost North TSF	Venterspost South TSF
Venterspost North TSF	Venterspost South TSF
26° 15.731'S; 27° 38.261'E	26° 16.697'S; 27° 38.242'E
Owned & Operated by FWGR	Owned & Operated by FWGR
Inactive	Inactive
Approx. 1954	Approx. 1985
Closed as per Mine closure plan	Closed as per Mine closure plan
Day Wall	Day Wall
Approx. 45m	Approx. 20m
38 068 099 m3	8 605 842 m3
38 068 099 m3	8 605 842 m3
2018	2018
Yes	Yes
High	High I
SANS 10286	SANS 10286
NO	No
External support	External support
Dormant dams	Dormant dams
This dam will be reclaimed in future	This dam will be reclaimed in future
inis dani wiii be reciained in future	inis dani wiii be recialilled ili luture
No. Proposed reclamation site	No. Proposed reclamation site
Troposed recidination site	1.00. 1 Toposed redamation site
This TSF currently forms part of the	This TSF currently forms part of the
FWGR mine plan for surface tailings	FWGR mine plan for surface tailings
retreatment.	retreatment.
rea cadinenti	rea caunena

When 64 TOF
Kloof 1 TSF
Kloof 1 TSF
26° 24.601'S; 27° 37.176'E
Owned & Operated by FWGR
Inactive
Approx 1968
Closed as per Mine closure plan
Day Wall
Approx. 30m
19 616 219 m3
19 616 219 m3
2018
Yes
High
SANS 10286
No
External support
Dormant dams
This dam will be realised in fat.
This dam will be reclaimed in future
No Proposed reglamatics site
No. Proposed reclamation site
This TSE currently forms nort of the
This TSF currently forms part of the
FWGR mine plan for surface tailings
retreatment.

	Questions
	-
1	
	Tailings Facility" Name/identifier
2	Location
3	Ownership
4	Status
5	Date of initial operation
6	Is the Dam currently operated or closed as per currently approved design?
7	Raising method
8	Current Maximum Height
9	Current Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume
10	Planned Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume in 5 years time.
11	Most recent Independent Expert Review
12	Do you have full and complete relevant engineering records including design, construction, operation, maintenance, and/or closure?
13	What is your hazard categorisation of this facility, based on the
14	consequence of failure? What guideline do you follow for the classification system?
14	winat gaineline do you follow for the classification systems
15	
	Has this facility, at any point in its history, failed to be confirmed or certified as stable, or experienced notable stability concerns, as identified by an independent engineer (even if later certified as stable by the same or a different firm).
16	Do you have internal/in house engineering specialist oversight of this facility? Or do you have external engineering support for this purpose?

	Has a formal analysis of the downstream impact on communities, ecosystems and critical infrastructure in the event of catastrophic
17	failure been undertaken and to reflect final conditions? If so, when
	did this assessment take place?
18	Is there a) a closure plan in place for this dam, and b) does it include
18	long term monitoring?
	Have you, or do you plan to assess your tailings facilities against the
19	impact of more regular extreme weather events as a result of climate
	change, e.g. over the next two years?
	Any other relevant information and supporting documentation.
20	Please state if you have omitted any other exposure to tailings
	facilities through any joint ventures you may have.

NOTES

Please identify every tailings storage facility and identify if there are multiple dams (saddle or secondary dams) within that facility. Please provide details of these within question 20.

Please provide Long/Lat coordinates

Please specify: Owned and Operated, Subsidiary, JV, NOJV, as of March 2019
Please specify: Active, Inactive/Care and Maintenance, Closed etc. We take closed to mean: a closure plan was developed and approved by the relevant local government agency, and key stakeholders were involved in its development; a closed facility means the noted approved closure plan was fully implemented or the closure plan is in the process of being implemented. A facility that is inactive or under C&M is not considered closed until such time a closure plan has been implemented.

(date)

Yes/No. If 'No', more information can be provided in the answer to Q20

Note: Upstream, Centerline, Modified Centreline, Downstream, Landform, Other.

Note: Please disclose in metres
Note: (m3 as of March 2019)

(m3 as planned for January 2024)

(date) For this question we take 'Independent' to mean a suitably qualified individual or team, external to the Operation, that does not direct the design or construction work for that facility.

(Yes or No) We take the word "relevant" here to mean that you have all necessary documents to make an informed and substantiated decision on the safety of the dam, be it an old facility, or an acquisition, or legacy site. More information can be provided in your answer to Q20

(Yes or No) We note that this will depend on factors including local legislation that are not necessarily tied to best practice. As such, and because remedial action may have been taken, a "Yes" answer may not indicate heightened risk. Stability concerns might include toe seepage, dam movement, overtopping, spillway failure, piping etc. If yes, have appropriately designed and reviewed mitigation actions been implemented? We also note that this question does not bear upon the appropriateness of the criteria, but rather the stewardship levels of the facility or the dam. Additional comments/information may be supplied in your answer to Q20.

Note: Answers may be "Both".

Note: Please answer 'yes' or 'no', and if 'yes', provide a date.
Please answer both parts of this question (e.g. Yes and Yes)
(Yes or No)
Note: this may include links to annual report disclosures, further information in
the public domain, guidelines or reports etc.